

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,880	05/25/2001	Andrew Reeve	3036/49962	2253
75	11/22/2004	EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP			NGUYEN, V	AN KIM T
P.O. Box 14300				
Washington, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• ,			2661	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/864,880	REEVE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	ay 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the conseque	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/14/2001.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/864,880 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: *Improvements in Packet Switches*.

• Improper Arrangement of the Specification.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
 (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,880

Art Unit: 2661

(g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Page 3

- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sriram (US 5,463,620).

Regarding claim 1, as shown in Figures 1-10, Sriram discloses a traffic management system (10) for a packet switch, comprising: a cross-bar (25); a plurality of ingress means (21, 22, 23, 24) connected to an input side of the cross-bar; a plurality of egress means (26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31) connected to an output side of the cross-bar; a bandwidth controller (54) for allocating a bandwidth to each ingress-egress pairing; and a cross-bar controller (48, 50) for controlling operation of the cross-bar in accordance with the bandwidth allocated by the bandwidth controller (col. 3: lines 34-42; col. 8: line 61- col. 9: line 24).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 2, Sriram also discloses the crossbar controller also selects the next ingress-egress pairing for each ingress means (ith queue; col. 9: lines 25-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sriram (US 5,463,620).

Sriram discloses a method of controlling a packet switch connected between a plurality of ingress means (21, 22, 23, 24) and a plurality of egress means (26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46), each ingress means having a packet queue for transmission (21, 23), comprising the step of

- a) defining a period over which the packet queues are to be transmitted (D_c; col. 5: lines 35-39);
- b) calculating a rate matrix having elements corresponding to the rates from an ingress means to an egress means (as shown in Figure 5, the sum of traffic rates entering the time slice server equal the 150Mb/s ouput; col. 5: line 51- col. 6: line 19; and col. 9: lines 13-19);
- c) at the beginning of each period, calculating a cell matrix containing a number of cells which must be transmitted from each of the packet queues during the period (col. 6: lines 20-34);

d) for each cell slot in the period, determining a configuration which matches the cell matrix by only servicing packet queues with non-zero cell counts (col. 6: lines 34-37), the configuration being determined in accordance with the following constraints: (i) selecting m_i cells from each ingress means; (ii) routing the cells to each egress means (col. 9: lines 29-33);

- e) decrementing the cell counts of each queue serviced (it is inherent the cell counts of each queue is decremented by the number of routed cells after each service); and
 - f) repeating steps c), d) and e) until the end of the period (col. 9: lines 33-37).

Though Sriram does not explicitly recite selecting no more than one cell from each ingress means, routing no more than one cell to each egress means, and decrementing the cell counts of each queue serviced only by one, but since Sriram teaches the rate of withdrawing cells from each queue is directly corresponding to the available output bandwidth, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made if the output bandwidth is limited enough, there would be cases whence only one cell at each queue can be serviced at a time.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Chang et al (US 6,804,731); Alasti et al (US 6,757,246); Hughes et al (US 6,747,971); Achilles et al (US 6,707,824); Brandis et al (US 6,654,343); Suzuki (US 6,625,160); Magnusen (US 6,529,476); Khacherian et al (US 6,542,507); Wallner et al (US 6,442,172); Hahn et al (US 6,314,487); Calvignac et al (US 6,195,335); and Caldara et al (US 5,982,771)

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye, can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

vkn

ZNNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER